PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants	:	Hajo RIECK et al.)) Group Art Unit 3725)) Examiner Debra M. WOLFE)) Confirmation No. 6757
Appln. No.	:	10/541,846	
Docket No.	:	P28202	
Customer No.	:	07055	
Filed	:	May 15, 2006))
Title	:	METHOD OF FIXING THE POSITION OF A PULL-TAB WITH A STEEP ANTI- ROTATION DEVICE FORMED FROM THE PANEL OF A SHEET METAL COVER))))

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window, Mail Stop *Issue Fee* Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

This is in response to the Statement of Reasons for Allowance, set forth in an attachment to the Notice of Allowability (form PTOL-37), mailed December 26, 2007.

Although Applicants do not here express disagreement with the Examiner in her indication of reasons for allowance, Applicants note that the allowed claims recite a plurality of features and the patentability of the allowed claims should be considered to be based upon the totality of the features recited therein, *i.e.*, the invention should be "considered as a whole," as defining over the prior art. *See, e.g., Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.*, 810 F.2d 1561, 1 USPQ2d 1593 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

For example, Applicants submit that the reasons for allowance do not preclude the existence of additional reasons that could be cited as supporting the patentability of the allowed claims, *i.e.*, independent claims as well as dependent claims.

Respectfully submitted, Hajo RIECK et al.

what

James L. Rowland Reg. No. 32,674

March 25, 2008 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 Roland Clarke Place Reston, VA 20191 703-716-1191 (telephone) 703-716-1180 (fax)

{P28202 00395936.DOC}